

ACA/D/86

Feedback Analysis

Title: Faculty feedback (Prof Satish Shelke)


Academic Year: 2018-19

Class: Eight Semester [B. Pharmacy]


Details: Activity

Total number of response(s): 67 / 67


Question	Utilization of time during session					
Answer	Value	Value No. of response(s) Response value Respon				
Excellent	5	28	140	41.79		
Very Good	4	17	68	25.37		
Good	3	15	45	22.39		
satisfactory	2	6	12	8.96		
Below satisfaction	1	1	1	1.49		
Performance				79.40		




Question	Syllabus coverage			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	25	125	37.31
Very Good	4	15	60	22.39
Good	3	17	51	25.37
satisfactory	2	8	16	11.94
Below satisfaction	1	2	2	2.99
Performance				75.82




Question	Content	Content beyond syllabus				
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %		
Excellent	5	25	125	37.31		
Very Good	4	17	68	25.37		
Good	3	14	42	20.90		
satisfactory	2	9	18	13.43		
 Below satisfaction 	1	2	2	2.99		
Performance				76.12		



Question	Encouragement to ask questions						
Answer	Value	Value No. of response(s) Response value Response					
Excellent	5	30	150	44.78			
Very Good	4	15	60	22.39			
Good	3	13	39	19.40			
satisfactory	2	6	12	8.96			
Below satisfaction	1	3	3	4.48			
Performance				78.81			




Question	Motivation	Motivation for career			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %	
Excellent	5	27	135	40.30	
Very Good	4	17	68	25.37	
Good	3	11	33	16.42	
satisfactory	2	7	14	10.45	
Below satisfaction	1	5	5	7.46	
Performance				76.12	



12/20/22, 5:18 PM vmedulife Account

Question	Academic discipline and class control					
Answer	Value	lue No. of response(s) Response value Respon				
Excellent	5	29	145	43.94		
Very Good	4	18	72	27.27		
Good	3	10	30	15.15		
satisfactory	2	6	12	9.09		
Below satisfaction	1	3	3	4.55		
Performance				79.39		





Achieved 77.61 | Scope for improvement 22.39

Actions Taken

This feedback have been communicated to respective faculty member for his information. Principal Sir took personal meeting with him and asked him to take necessary measure. Also appreciated his work and motivate him for future task.



Principal Principal Rajarshi Shahu College of Pharmacy, Malvihir, Buldana.



ACA/D/86

Feedback Analysis

Title: Faculty feedback (Prof Sharad Tayde)


Academic Year: 2018-19

Class: Sixth Semester [B. Pharmacy]


Details: Activity

Total number of response(s): 107 / 107


Question	Utilization of time during session					
Answer	Value	Value No. of response(s) Response value Respon				
Excellent	5	80	400	74.77		
Very Good	4	15	60	14.02		
Good	3	9	27	8.41		
satisfactory	2	2	4	1.87		
Below satisfaction	1	1	1	0.93		
Performance				91.96		




Question	Syllabus coverage			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response %	
Excellent	5	78	390	72.90
Very Good	4	17	68	15.89
Good	3	10	30	9.35
satisfactory	2	1	2	0.93
Below satisfaction	1	1	1	0.93
Performance				91.78




Question	Conten	Content beyond syllabus				
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %		
Excellent	5	65	325	60.75		
Very Good	4	19	76	17.76		
Good	3	16	48	14.95		
satisfactory	2	5	10	4.67		
Below satisfaction	1	2	2	1.87		
Performance				86.17		




Question	Encouragement to ask questions			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	73	365	68.22
Very Good	4	15	60	14.02
Good	3	12	36	11.21
satisfactory	2	5	10	4.67
Below satisfaction	1	2	2	1.87
Performance				88.41



Question	Motivation for career			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	66	330	61.68
Very Good	4	26	104	24.30
Good	3	10	30	9.35
satisfactory	2	4	8	3.74
Below satisfaction	1	1	1	0.93
Performance				88.41



Question	Academic discipline and class control			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	82	410	76.64
Very Good	4	13	52	12.15
Good	3	11	33	10.28
satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
Below satisfaction	1	1	1	0.93
Performance				92.71





Achieved 89.91 | Scope for improvement 10.09

This feedback have been communicated to respective faculty member for his information. Principal Sir took personal meeting with him and asked him to take necessary measure. Also appreciated his work and motivate him for future task.









ACA/D/86

Feedback Analysis

Title: Faculty feedback (Prof Mrunali Potbhare)


Academic Year: 2018-19

Class: Fourth Semester [B. Pharmacy]


Details: Activity

Total number of response(s): 106 / 106


Question	Utilization of time during session					
Answer	Value	No. of response(s) Response value Response %				
Excellent	5	63	315	59.43		
Very Good	4	19	76	17.92		
Good	3	13	39	12.26		
satisfactory	2	5	10	4.72		
Below satisfaction	1	6	6	5.66		
Performance				84.15		




Question	Syllabus	Syllabus coverage					
Answer	Value	Value No. of response(s) Response value Response %					
Excellent	5	53	265	50.00			
Very Good	4	18	72	16.98			
Good	3	14	42	13.21			
satisfactory	2	10	20	9.43			
Below satisfaction	1	11	11	10.38			
Performance				77.36			




Question	Content	Content beyond syllabus			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %	
Excellent	5	51	255	48.11	
Very Good	4	24	96	22.64	
Good	3	12	36	11.32	
satisfactory	2	12	24	11.32	
 Below satisfaction 	1	7	7	6.60	
Performance					



Question	Encouragement to ask questions						
Answer	Value	Value No. of response(s) Response value Response %					
Excellent	5	50	250	47.17			
Very Good	4	27	108	25.47			
Good	3	15	45	14.15			
satisfactory	2	8	16	7.55			
Below satisfaction	1	6	6	5.66			
Performance				80.19			




Question	Motivatio	Motivation for career				
Answer	Value	/alue No. of response(s) Response value Response %				
Excellent	5	56	280	52.83		
Very Good	4	22	88	20.75		
Good	3	16	48	15.09		
satisfactory	2	6	12	5.66		
Below satisfaction	1	6	6	5.66		
Performance		81.89				



12/20/22, 5:25 PM vmedulife Account

Question	Academ	Academic discipline and class control			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %	
Excellent	5	56	280	52.83	
Very Good	4	25	100	23.58	
Good	3	14	42	13.21	
satisfactory	2	6	12	5.66	
Below satisfaction	1	5	5	4.72	
Performance				82.83	





Achieved 80.88 | Scope for improvement 19.12

Actions Taken

This feedback have been communicated to respective faculty member for her information. Principal Sir took personal meeting with her and asked her to take necessary measure. Also appreciated her work and motivate him for future task.



Principal Principal Rajarshi Shahu College of Pharmacy, Malvihir, Buldana.



Feedback Analysis

Title: Faculty feedback (Prof Vikram Sancheti)

Academic Year: 2018-19

Class: Sixth Semester [B. Pharmacy]

Details: Activity

Total number of response(s): 106 / 106

Question	Utilization of time during session			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	39	195	36.79
VeryGood	4	23	92	17.36
Good	3	36	108	20.38
satisfactory	2	6	12	2.26
Below satisfaction	1	2	2	0.38
Performance				77.17

Question	Syllabus coverage			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	37	185	34.91
Very Good	4	34	136	25.66
Good	3	25	75	14.15
satisfactory	2	8	16	3.02
Below satisfaction	1	2	2	0.38
Performance				78.11







Question	Conte	Content beyond syllabus			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)		Response %	
Excellent	5	24	120	22.64	
Very Good	4	26	104	19.62	
Good	3	36	108	20.38	
satisfactory	2	13	26	4.91	
Below satisfaction	1	7	7	1.32	
Performance				68.87	

Question	Encou	Encouragement to ask questions			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	'	Response %	
Excellent	5	33	165	31.13	
VeryGood	4	25	100	18.87	
Good	3	30	90	16.98	
satisfactory	2	13	26	4.91	
Below satisfaction	1	5	5	0.94	
Performance				72.83	


Question	Motivation for career			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	43	215	40.57
VeryGood	4	25	100	18.87
Good	3	26	78	14.72
satisfactory	2	8	16	3.02
Below satisfaction	1	4	4	0.75
Performance				77.92







Question	Academic discipline and class control			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	'	Response %
Excellent	5	57	285	53.77
VeryGood	4	23	92	17.36
Good	3	20	60	11.32
satisfactory	2	5	10	1.89
Below satisfaction	1	1	1	0.19
Performance				84.53





Feedback Analysis

Title: Faculty feedback (Prof Ashwini Kakde)

Academic Year: 2018-19

Class: Sixth Semester [B. Pharmacy]

Details: Activity

Total number of response(s): 107 / 107

Question	Utiliza	Utilization of time during session			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	'	Response %	
Excellent	5	29	145	27.10	
VeryGood	4	15	60	11.21	
Good	3	35	105	19.63	
satisfactory	2	17	34	6.36	
Below satisfaction	1	11	11	2.06	
Performance				66.36	

Question	Syllabus coverage			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	29	145	27.10
VeryGood	4	21	84	15.70
Good	3	28	84	15.70
satisfactory	2	20	40	7.48
Below satisfaction	1	9	9	1.68
Performance			67.66	







Question	Content beyond syllabus			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	'	Response %
Excellent	5	26	130	24.30
VeryGood	4	17	68	12.71
Good	3	30	90	16.82
satisfactory	2	23	46	8.60
Below satisfaction	1	11	11	2.06
Performance				64.49

Question	Encou	Encouragement to ask questions			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %	
Excellent	5	30	150	28.04	
VeryGood	4	16	64	11.96	
Good	3	29	87	16.26	
satisfactory	2	20	40	7.48	
Below satisfaction	1	12	12	2.24	
Performance			65.98		

Question	Motivation for career			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)		Response %
Excellent	5	30	150	28.04
VeryGood	4	15	60	11.21
Good	3	29	87	16.26
satisfactory	2	26	52	9.72
Below satisfaction	1	7	7	1.31
Performance			66.54	







Question	Academic discipline and class control			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)		Response %
Excellent	5	30	150	28.04
Very Good	4	13	52	9.72
Good	3	31	93	17.38
satisfactory	2	22	44	8.22
Below satisfaction	1	11	11	2.06
Performance				65.42

The above feedback was communicated to the concerned staff by email and Principal sir discussed the above feedback with the concerned staff and asked for improvement and also appreciated and motivate for future task.



Feedback Analysis

Title: Faculty feedback (Prof Gajanan Sonwane)

Academic Year: 2018-19


Class: Sixth Semester [B. Pharmacy]

Details: Activity

Total number of response(s): 108 / 108

Question	Utilization of time during session			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	58	290	53.70
VeryGood	4	21	84	15.56
Good	3	23	69	12.78
satisfactory	2	3	6	1.11
Below satisfaction	1	3	3	0.56
Performance				83.70

Question	Syllabus coverage			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	49	245	45.37
Very Good	4	25	100	18.52
Good	3	17	51	9.44
satisfactory	2	13	26	4.81
Below satisfaction	1	4	4	0.74
Performance				78.89







Question	Content beyond syllabus			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)		Response %
Excellent	5	59	295	54.63
VeryGood	4	25	100	18.52
Good	3	15	45	8.33
satisfactory	2	6	12	2.22
Below satisfaction	1	3	3	0.56
Performance				84.26

Question	Encou	Encouragement to ask questions			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)		Response %	
Excellent	5	65	325	60.19	
VeryGood	4	15	60	11.11	
Good	3	21	63	11.67	
satisfactory	2	6	12	2.22	
Below satisfaction	1	1	1	0.19	
Performance			85.37		

Question	Motivation for career			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Excellent	5	72	360	66.67
VeryGood	4	16	64	11.85
Good	3	16	48	8.89
satisfactory	2	3	6	1.11
Below satisfaction	1	1	1	0.19
Performance			88.70	







Question	Academic discipline and class control			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)		Response %
Excellent	5	51	255	47.22
Very Good	4	25	100	18.52
Good	3	23	69	12.78
satisfactory	2	6	12	2.22
Below satisfaction	1	3	3	0.56
Performance				81.30

